

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 2071/US/2 7642 10/789,294 02/26/2004 Gary Piaget

20686

7590

12/09/2004

DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET **SUITE 4700** DENVER, CO 80202-5647

EXAMINER

CROW, STEPHEN R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3764

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 111 |
|--|--|--|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1666 |
| Office Action Summary | 10/789,294 | PIAGET ET AL. | Ÿ |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Steve R. Crow | 3764 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence addre | ess |
| Period for Reply | UVIC CET TO EVDIDE 4 N | AONTH/S) EDOM | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | nis action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | · | · | erits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | awn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | * |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad | ccepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | : |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | · | · · · | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO- | -152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority. | nts have been received. nts have been received in A | Application No | 20 0 |
| application from the International Bure | • | rreceived in this National St | age |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | | t received. | , |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 5. The c | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 | 52) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | , |

Application/Control Number: 10/789,294 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

| Election/Restrictions | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct | | | |
| species of the claimed invention: | | | |
| There are several species groupings: Applicant must select from one figure in each of | | | |
| the following groupings: | | | |
| A- resistance means: | | | |
| 1-figure28; | | | |
| 2—figure 29; | | | |
| 3—figure 30; | | | |
| 4—figure 31; | | | |
| 5—figure 32; | | | |
| 6—figure 33; | | | |
| 7—figure 34. | | | |
| | | | |

B- alternative variable treadles:

1—figure 35;

2—figure 36.

C- Alternative interconnection means:

1- figure 37;

Application/Control Number: 10/789,294

Art Unit: 3764

- 2—figure 38;
- 3—figure 40;
- 4—figure 41.

D—Alternative apparatus frame:

- 1- Figures 1-26;
- 2—Figure 27.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,2,24,27 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/789,294

Art Unit: 3764

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Greg Durban on December 6,2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 703-308-2675. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,294

Art Unit: 3764

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SŤEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

SC